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ABSTRACT
The School of Graduate Studies
The University of Alabama in Huntsville

Degree: Doctor of Nursing Practice College: Nursing

Name of Candidate: Lisa Fournace

Title: Securing Payment for Services Provided by Advanced Practice Nurses:
Framework for Organizations

Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRN) who practice in inpatient facilities are subject
to reimbursement guidelines that can create difficulties in billing for services. The purpose of
this project was to develop a billing framework to allow for hospital-based APRNs to bill
inpatient professional services. A billing framework and billing tool was developed utilizing
best evidence and presented to the steering committee work group. Feedback from the work
group led to revisions of the framework, billing tool and the development of a billing guideline
before being accepted into a larger billing initiative to be reviewed by senior leadership. The
development of a billing framework is an important first step in implementing billing for
hospital-based APRNs. The groundwork has been laid for the organization to build upon the
framework for a successful implementation of billing for hospital-based APRNs in the future.
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Section I

DNP Project
Securing Payment for Services Provided by Advanced Practice Nurses:  
Framework for Organizations  

Background

As the demand for health care increases due to a growing and aging population, so does the demand for health care providers. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), the supply of physicians is decreasing. The AAMC (2019) predicts the United States will experience a shortage of up to nearly 122,000 physicians by 2032, which includes a shortage of primary care physicians (PCP) estimated to be between 21,100 and 55,200. Concurrently, the number of advanced practice registered nurses (APRN) is increasing, and it is forecasted to increase by 31% by 2026 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2019). With the physician shortage and the supply of APRNs increasing, APRNs are poised to help fill the health care gap.

Not only is the United States facing a shortage of physicians, but the cost of health care is skyrocketing. In the United States (US), health care spending is more than twice that of other developed nations such as the United Kingdom, France, and Sweden, accounting for 17.7% of the gross domestic product in the US (Kane, 2012; Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services [CMS], 2019a). Evidence has shown APRNs can reduce health care costs, especially when barriers are removed, allowing them to practice to the top of their license (Bauer, 2010).

According to studies conducted by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2010), APRNs are well educated and trained to provide safe, effective, and quality patient care. APRNs are registered nurses who hold a master’s degree or higher and have the training required to evaluate and treat patient medical issues. Their education prepares them to work in a variety of inpatient and outpatient settings, where they can examine patients, diagnose illnesses, provide treatment and prescribe medication, much like physicians do. APRNs are a group comprised of Certified
Nurse Practitioners, Certified Nurse Specialists, Certified Nurse-Midwives, and Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists (National Council of State Boards of Nursing [NCSBN], 2019). However, APRNs are a unique group of providers because they do not fit into a definitive category of medicine or nursing (Metzger, 2014). While APRNs are not physicians, their education, training, and job responsibilities create different professional roles than those of registered nurses, thereby requiring a different organizational structure to serve more effectively in expanding organizations (Metzger, 2014; Anen & McElroy, 2015). The Center for Advancing Provider Practices (CAP2) recommends six areas of focus to improve the organizational structure for APRNs. The focus areas include “leadership, human resources, credentialing and privileging, competency assessment, billing and reimbursement, and measurement/impact” (Anen & McElroy, 2015, p. 51).

Implementing a health care infrastructure that supports and empowers APRNs requires cooperating leadership from various departments to define the organizational needs and goals to ensure success. APRNs are frequently hired to help fill the health care gaps surrounding national initiatives. This environment allows APRNs to demonstrate their full abilities (Kapu, 2014). A sound APRN-centered infrastructure can facilitate engagement, utilization, and optimization of APRNs, which results in improved patient outcomes in a cost-effective manner (Anen, & McElroy, 2015).

**Identification of Problem**

Billing and reimbursement for APRNs have been identified by CAP2 as an opportunity to improve organizational infrastructure (Anen & McElroy, 2015). Previously, hospitals were reimbursed under a cost-based system, allowing hospitals to submit the cost of patient care for a percentage of reimbursement. Due to rising costs, the government changed the reimbursement
system (Buppert, 2005). Today, the Prospective Payment System (PPS) is used as a fixed payment system to reimburse for services and care provided in the inpatient setting. The amount is determined by the diagnosis related group (DRG). This is a weighted payment for the specific diagnosis, determined by the resources used to care for the patient (CMS, 2020). In addition to PPS structured reimbursements, hospitals are incentivized to improve quality of care using a value-based payment model. The model has three performance measures for hospitals: Hospital Value-Based Purchasing (VBP) Program, Hospital Readmission Reduction Program, and the Hospital Acquired Conditions Reduction Program. The performance measures programs focus on improving the quality of patient care, reducing hospital 30-day readmission rates, and decreasing infections acquired in the hospital (CMS, 2019b).

To meet the performance measures for the PPS and VBP programs, hospitals and or organizations would benefit from maximizing the integration of APRN services in care-delivery models. However, APRN practice infrastructure must include a billing program for APRNs. Developing and instituting a billing and reimbursement program for services provided by APRNs as part of the organizational practice and infrastructure can help to improve quality, cost-effective care (Kapu, Kleinpell, & Pilon, 2014). Empowering APRNs to practice to the top of their license has been shown to improve job satisfaction (Athey, 2016). Failure to implement a practice infrastructure that promotes and empowers APRNs practice can lead to decreased job satisfaction and increased turnover amongst APRNs (Kapu & Steaban, 2016).

**Local Problem**

In 2016, two surveys were conducted across the enterprise within a large health care system headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee to understand the roles and utilization of services provided by hospital-based APRNs. Within this organization, hospital-based APRNs work in the
hospital; however, they are employees of the corporation and not the hospital (Figure 1). The first survey was sent to the Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) of each hospital. The second survey was sent to all of the hospital-based APRNs. A work group was developed to review data from the surveys and financial data specific to the services provided by hospital-based APRNs. The surveys revealed APRNs were thought to be valuable to the organization, however, current structures and guidelines were preventing full utilization of skills resulting in hospital-based APRNs not practicing to the top of their license. The work group identified opportunities surrounding hospital-based APRNs that could enhance patient care, reduce costs, increase APRN utilization, and improve job satisfaction (Englebright, 2017).

With the continued growth of APRNs in the hospital workforce and regulatory changes offering new opportunities for services provided by the APRNs, the work group identified the need to assess APRN billing practices and opportunities for organizational changes to promote APRN billing (C. Borum, personal communication, June 18, 2019). The Assistant Vice President (AVP) for Advanced Practice Nursing was tasked to investigate the feasibility of instituting a billing program for hospital-based APRNs and now chairs a work group tasked to explore implementing said billing system. The work group was comprised of business and clinical professionals selected for their knowledge and experience with business systems and clinical services.

Today, within the surveyed health care system, hospital-based APRNs do not bill for services using their own National Provider Identifier (NPI), the ten-digit number used to identify a provider, due to the lack of an APRN billing structure within the organization (CMS 2018). Physicians and out-patient-based APRNs who submit professional fees for inpatient services submit charges to their outpatient clinic to be processed for billing. The hospital-based APRNs
currently are not submitting charges for professional services due to a lack of guidelines or tools in place to foster billing. Without the ability for hospital-based APRNs to bill inpatient services, tracking APRNs’ productivity is proving to be difficult as their visibility is decreased. The limitations include tracking financial viability, patient quality care, and costs related to care. Improving the visibility of APRNs recently has been discussed with the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MEDPAC), as a recent recommendation was made to Medicare to eliminate incident-to billing to increase APRN visibility (MEDPAC, 2019). With the current shift toward VBP reimbursement models, tracking the activity of health care providers and patients is essential.

**PICO Question**

The focus of this project was to determine how hospital-based APRNs can bill inpatient professional services. To guide the review of evidence for the proposed project, a clinical question was developed using the population (P), intervention (I), comparison (C), and outcome (O) (Stillwell, Fineout-Overholt, Melnyk, & Williamson, 2010). The PICO was as follows: P = hospital based APRN, I = billing, C = no comparison O = components of hospital billing. The PICO search question was: What are billing guidelines and best practices for hospital-based APRNs?

**Purpose**

The purpose of the Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) project was to develop a billing framework for inpatient care and services provided by hospital-based APRNs. The objectives of the DNP project are 1) Develop a framework to implement billing for hospital-based APRNs; 2) Present the framework to the work group committee by December 13, 2019; 3) Obtain objective feedback from the work group committee; 4) Revise the plan for billing guidelines by
December 20, 2019; and, 5) Develop a billing policy for hospital-based APRNs. Topics to be considered in meeting project objectives include barriers to this new billing structure, code selection for a pilot study, and review of reimbursements for these selected services.

**Conceptual Framework**

Kanter’s *Theory of Structural Empowerment* was the basis for the DNP project. Kanter’s theory describes the influences of organizational structure upon the empowerment of employees. Kanter (1993) defines power as “the ability to get things done, to mobilize resources, to get and use whatever it is that person needs for the goals he or she is attempting to meet” (pg. 166). When power is confined to only a few, then effectiveness is diminished. However, when the power is spread among many, the result is increased productivity. Empowerment gives a person the authority over circumstances to promote their actions to accomplish goals (Kanter, 1993).

The four organizational structures that promote empowerment include access to support, opportunity, resources, and information. Access to support involves evaluation, constructive criticism, advice, and guidance from supervisors, colleagues, and subordinates. Access to opportunity for growth and mobility calls for access to skill development, challenges, and professional development. Access to resources involves the permission to utilize necessary resources, such as equipment, money, or supplies to accomplish tasks and meet goals. Access to information involves communication to promote knowledge regarding policies, decisions, and organizational goals (Kanter, 1993; Laschinger, Leiter, Day, & Gilin, 2009). Structural empowerment has been linked to improved job satisfaction, productivity, and autonomy (Clavelle, O’Grady, & Drenkard, 2013; Laschinger et al., 2009; Orgambidez-Ramos, & Borrego-Alés, 2014). Therefore, Kanter’s model serves as an excellent guide in developing a billing program for APRNs to promote structural empowerment (Figure 2).
An organization’s effectiveness depends on its ability to recognize the values, contributions, and achievements of its employees (Rutherford, Leigh, Monk, & Murray, 2005). An organizational framework that fosters structural empowerment of APRNs can have a beneficial impact on patient care, positive financial implications, and promote job retention. Developing structures such as implementing an APRN billing program can result in increased visibility of APRNs, which may contribute to a sense of empowerment that increases job effectiveness, improves job satisfaction, and ultimately enhances patient care (Stewart, McNulty, Griffin, & Fitzpatrick, 2010).

**Review of the Evidence**

**Search Strategy**

A search of the literature describing the processes and plans for billing practices of facility-employed APRNs was conducted in databases including PubMed, Medline, OVID, Cochrane Review, and CINAHL. Keywords searched were: advanced practice registered nurse /nurse practitioner billing, inpatient billing, hospital billing, billing physician services, reimbursement of advanced practice registered nurses, nurse practitioner inpatient quality of care, risks of nurse practitioner billing, and benefits of nurse practitioner billing. The search was limited to English articles describing works conducted in the United States. Initially, a 10-year limit was used for the search; however, there was a limited amount of information regarding APRN billing for inpatient physician services. Therefore, the search was expanded, and no time limitation was used. The references found included billing guidelines and procedures. The search produced 667 articles. A total of seven articles, from peer reviewed journals, were found to be specific to APRN hospital billing and included in the evidence.
Billing Guidelines for APRNs Providing Inpatient Services

Guidelines describing APRNs billing for professional services are complicated and difficult to decipher due to documentation in various locations of federal and state law (Buppert, 2005). Third-party-payers may have largely differing policies regarding APRN billing and reimbursement. Although many payers will adhere to CMS-based guidelines, not all payers, including state Medicaid agencies follow these guidelines. Organizations in individual states need to investigate the rules surrounding Medicaid and commercial payers for billing inpatient care services (Wound, Ostomy, and Continence Nurses Society [WOCN], 2012). Given that the rules vary for each payer, the only definitive guidelines that can be evaluated are those from federal sources such as CMS.

Professional services include consultation, diagnosis, oversight for plans of care, treatments, and surgeries documented using Current Procedural Terminology (CPT) (American Medical Association, 2019). These services can be performed in an institution, such as a hospital, or an outpatient setting such as a clinic or home setting (CMS, 2019c). An APRN can bill for professional services according to specific requirements. CMS requires that scope of practice be followed according to state law, the professional service must be within the APRN scope of practice, and the APRN must have a collaborating physician and a collaborative agreement (CMS, 2019c). Finally, only one bill for evaluation and management (E&M), per day, per specialty will be covered (CMS, 2019d).

Benefits of Billing for Inpatient Services Provided by Hospital-Based APRNs

In a study by Kapu, Kleinpell, and Pilon (2014), APRNs were added to five inpatient teams as billing providers. Adding the APRNs decreased the length of stay in the hospital across all the units. The shortened hospitalization resulted in cost savings of approximately $28 million in
hospital charges, and each APRN increased gross collections due to the added revenue. Additionally, nurses and physicians completed 3-month satisfaction surveys regarding adding the APRNs, with the majority responding favorably to this team structure. The nurses, with over 80% response, strongly agreed using the APRNs improved patient care, including improved pain control and better communication with the patient and families. The physicians, with over 75% response, strongly agreed APRNs improved the physician workflow, improved patient care, and, overall, were very satisfied with the clinical decision making of the APRN. Of note, 100% of the physicians strongly agreed using the APRN improved patient throughput.

Brooks and Fulton (2019) implemented a billing algorithm, following Medicare guidelines, across a large academic medical center for inpatient and outpatient APRNs. The data was not separately analyzed for inpatient and outpatient; however, global benefits were noted. The billing algorithm increased APRN visibility by capturing data related to productivity. Before the billing algorithm, physician relative value units (RVU), units used to calculate physician payments, were inflated secondary to APRNs billing under the physicians’ NPI. As anticipated, APRN RVU increased as APRNs initiated billing utilizing their own NPI. However, the unanticipated benefit noted was the increase in the physicians’ RVUs. The billing algorithm improved work flow through improved utilization of the patient care team.

Moote et al. (2011) conducted a study to evaluate the use of APRNs and physician assistants (PA) in academic health centers. The study consisted of a 3-part survey examining the perceived organizational value of APRNs and PAs, integration of APRNs and PAs into the organization, and deployment strategies of APRNs and PAs. The primary reason noted for employing APRNs and PAs was to fill the gap created by the restrictions on resident hours. Secondary reasons noted include improving patient quality, safety, and throughput, increasing patient access to care,
and decreasing length of stay. Additionally, ease of accessibility of non-physician providers to other care providers to discuss patient care was ranked high with strongly agree. Despite APRNs and PAs proving to be valuable assets to the organizations, 69% of the responders admitted that the financial impact of APRNs or PAs had not been successfully documented. Twenty-three percent of responders reported that hospital-based APRNs and PAs were not billing professional services. In 40% of medical centers tracking RVUs of APRNs and PAs, RVUs were not captured for hospital-based advanced practice providers but limited to the outpatient setting. This study highlights the missed opportunity to bill for services and capture data specific to APRNs.

Kapu and Steaban (2016) recognized the need for a business case to support implementing an inpatient APRN team, and developed an 8-step standardized framework for adding to inpatient teams to improve quality and revenue while providing cost-effective health care. The process included identification of the problem as it related to the program or organization, a statement of the problem, proposed intervention, recommendations on staffing, an overview of the APRN pro forma, APRN quality targets, plan for practice support, and anticipated challenges. This framework has provided a uniform process for strategic planning, implementation, and evaluation. Since implementing the framework, the institution has hired and trained additional APRNs who have utilized the process for developing new programs.

APRN job satisfaction in relation to autonomy and work setting were evaluated in a survey by Athey et al (2015). The study evaluated how job satisfaction was affected by physician relationships, billing practices, and skill utilization. The survey revealed that 30% of APRNs perceived that their skills were underutilized in the hospital setting. Fifty-nine percent of clinic-employed APRNs felt strongly that their skills were utilized. The survey found that across all
settings, only 39% of APRNs were billing under their own NPI. In the hospital setting, 32% of APRNs were billing in the medical setting. The survey determined that the highest job satisfaction reported was among APRNs with the highest reported autonomy and utilization of skills.

**Billing Procedures for Hospital-Based APRNs**

With the research demonstrating clear evidence that APRNs can bill Medicare for inpatient professional services, the challenge now becomes identifying best practices for billing strategies in facilities. To obtain Medicare credentialing, the APRN must meet Medicare qualifications. The qualifications include licensure as an APRN in the state of practice. If billing privileges were obtained before January 1, 2001, the above is the only requirement. Billing privileges sought between January 1, 2001 and December 31, 2002, require the above and certification from a nationally recognized certifying body. Certifying bodies include: American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, American Nurses Credentialing Center, National Certification Corporation for Obstetric, Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing Specialties, Pediatric Nursing Certification Board, Oncology Nurses Certification Corporation, American Association of Critical Care Nurses Certification Corporation, and the National Board on Certification of Hospice and Palliative Nurses. Billing privileges sought after January 1, 2003, must meet the above requirements and the individual must hold a master’s or doctoral degree in nursing (CMS, 2016 and CMS 2019d).

Billing guidelines require the APRN to obtain an NPI number for submitting claims to Medicare (CMS 2019d). Split/shared billing occurs when a physician and an APRN submit one claim for combined work. Shared billing is a permissible form of billing for inpatient professional services if both parties have an employment or similar contractual relationship.
Incident-to billing occurs when the claim is submitted under the physician’s NPI for APRN work. However, this is not allowed for billing inpatient professional services (Buppert, 2005, CMS, 2016 & CMS, 2019c).

Another guideline is to ensure that a service is medically necessary. Proper documentation supporting the procedure or the E&M code is essential to prove medical necessity. The E&M consists of history, physical exam, and medical decision making (CMS, 2017). APRNs may manage acute and chronic illnesses according to the state scope of practice laws. However, APRNs may not solely assume the care of hospitalized patients, even in states with full practice authority. Federal laws mandate that hospitalized patients have a physician assigned to their care (Electronic Code of Federal Regulations [e-CFR], 2019). These guidelines lay out the steps for billing inpatient professional services. Additional guidelines may be required, depending on individual state laws, organizational by-laws, and policies surrounding privileging and credentialing.

**Implementation**

**Setting**

Within a large health care system headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, hospital-based APRNs do not bill for professional services using their own NPI due to the infrastructure of the organization. The organization operates 185 hospitals in 21 states and the United Kingdom, employing 249,000 individuals, including 87,000 nurses, and 38,000 physicians resulting in over 28 million patient encounters each year. There are 112 hospitals in the United States, with 564 hospital-based APRNs providing professional services to inpatients. The services include performing procedures and providing medical care that supports E&M billing. The APRNs are
in place and performing the billable work; however, due to corporate policies and structure, they cannot submit billing for these services.

At the corporate headquarters, a steering committee work group was developed to evaluate the need for implementing a billing system for hospital-based APRNs. The AVP of Advanced Practice Nursing chaired the work group. The group was comprised of seven members, of which six were women. The members, consisting of business and clinical professionals, were invited to participate in the work group due to their knowledge and experience in leadership, clinical, government issues, human resources, and communication.

**Interventions**

The first phase of the project developed a basic framework to include the following components: a problem statement, background information, proposed solution, deployment strategy, project scope, project dependencies, operational and process impact, communication approach, key benefits, and project risks and issues. To help guide the framework, a driver diagram (Figure 3) was developed to create a visual display of the components that could affect the outcome. The aim was first identified, and then primary and secondary drivers were identified that had significant potential to impact the aim. Another tool used to assist in the development of the framework was an analysis of strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) (Figure 4). This tool was developed to identify internal and external components that may have influenced the project outcome.

A charge pass form (Figure 5) was developed as a billing tool to be included in the framework. The AVP of advanced practice nursing communicated the decision by the work group for the initial billing tool to only include procedures. The work group identified the procedures to be incorporated into the billing tool.
Finally, a survey was developed to obtain feedback from the workgroup for the proposed framework. The survey included eight closed-ended questions and one open-ended question. The closed-end questions used a 5-point scale to measure the responses.

Phase two of the project was a presentation of the plan to the steering committee work group for review. The 20-minute presentation was presented virtually to the work group. The presentation discussed the importance of and need for billing among hospital-based APRNs. The requirements for APRN billing were explained and the charge pass form was introduced. After the presentation, the work group members were invited to ask questions. At the conclusion of the questions, the work group was invited to complete a survey (Appendix D), via SurveyMonkey, designed to capture feedback regarding their analysis of the plan and suggestions for further consideration. The survey link was emailed to the work group participants and the surveys were collected over the course of one week.

The final phase of the project was the revision of the framework (Appendix F) and the development of the billing guideline (Appendix G). The framework format followed the institution’s template for business cases. A billing guideline was provided to outline the requirements for hospital-based APRN billing. Both were submitted to the AVP for review and consideration to be included in the larger advanced practice billing initiative for review by the senior leadership team.

**Evaluation**

The project was initiated following exemption status from The University of Alabama, Huntsville, Institutional Review Board (IRB). The proposed framework was evaluated by the AVP and the steering committee work group after a presentation was given that included all components of the plan and introduction of a billing tool. The group interacted by asking
clarifying questions and completed a survey to measure the effectiveness of the framework. The survey included closed and open-ended questions to allow for all feedback to be expressed. Revisions to the framework were not required based on feedback received from the survey. Minor revisions requested from the AVP were completed and submitted. The framework was used to develop the billing guideline which was submitted with the final framework.

Analysis

Both quantitative and narrative feedback were collected to evaluate the APRN billing framework. A 5-point scale survey was administered online through SurveyMonkey, which collected the responses. To measure the intervention, the mean was determined for each question. If the aggregated mean exceeded 3, this indicated that the project met acceptable criteria for progression to the next phase. If the mean for any individual question was below 3, it indicated revisions were needed. Narrative feedback was requested from the participants in the form of comments following each question and at the end of the survey. A statistical analysis of the survey was performed using descriptive statistics. SurveyMonkey software and Excel data analysis ToolPak were used to tabulate and analyze the data for each question. Quantitative and narrative survey results are available in Tables 1 and 2.

Results

Phase I developed the APRN billing framework. It involved researching the current evidence regarding APRN billing to help guide the framework. The development of the driver diagram and SWOT analysis helped to identify deficits and allowed for adjustments to be made to the framework.

A charge pass form was developed as the billing tool to be included in the framework. The work group identified and shared the top six procedures performed by the APRNs: arterial line...
placement, chest tube placement, endotracheal tube intubation, lumbar puncture, central venous access, and peripherally inserted central catheter. This information was utilized to develop a charge pass form that can be completed and submitted for billing. The form included patient demographics, procedure codes and definitions, and a field to include the diagnosis code (Figure 5). The design of the form was one page to promote ease of use.

Phase II was the presentation of the framework to the work group and completion of the survey. Seven members of the work group attended the presentation and were asked to complete a survey administered online through SurveyMonkey. A total of five surveys were completed from the seven work group attendees. One survey was not completed due to conflict of interest, and one survey was lost to follow-up. The mean aggregate score for all questions was 4.7. The mean for the individual questions was between 4.6 and 4.8. All of the mean scores were greater than 3, which indicated acceptance of the billing framework (Table 1).

The narrative comments were then evaluated. No recommendations for changes to the framework were noted; however, suggestions for future considerations were included. Comments included: “Nothing to necessarily add to the presentation, but there are additional training and billing considerations required for E/M services, particularly in the hospital space. That said, understanding that this presentation was not intended to go into that level of detail. It was very informative and well put together.” and, “I think the only caveat to this is based on how the APRN currently documents and is there an electronic tool in place to support this.” This information will be shared with the AVP to be included for future planning due to the continuation of the project.
The phase III of the project included minor revisions to the framework and the development of the billing guideline. Both were accepted to be submitted to senior leadership for review (Appendices F and G).

**Conclusion**

Developing an APRN billing framework is an important first step in implementing billing for hospital-based APRNs. Having a thorough understanding of billing regulations and guidelines, as well as federal and state laws, is essential. The project set out to develop the initial APRN billing framework, and it was successfully accepted into the larger advanced practice billing initiative. The groundwork has been laid for the organization to build upon the framework of a successful implementation of billing for hospital-based APRNs. This paradigm shift has the potential to position the organization as a leader in the advancement of APRN billing.

**Application to Practice**

Implementing a billing program can promote APRNs practicing to the top of license and increase APRN visibility, improving the ability to track metrics specific to APRNs. Additionally, charge capture can improve patient care due to improved utilization and work-flow of the patient care team; thereby increasing practice sustainability by enhancing cost-effective care and foster cost-avoidance. Financial opportunities also exist with the potential to participate in reimbursement with the PPS and the VBP program. Full utilization of APRNs may have far-reaching positive implications.

The greatest barrier to the framework is resistance to change. The framework must first be approved by senior leadership before the process of implementation begins. If approved, organizational guidelines must be revised to allow for the policy implementation. Additionally, the APRNs may be resistant to the change as it is a new process. Resistance to change can be
mitigated with appropriate training. A final barrier is a risk for duplicate billing, specifically with E&M codes. With appropriate training of the billing and coding specialists as well as the physicians and APRNs, this risk can be minimized.
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### Table 1: APRN Billing Framework Survey Quantitative Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Discuss importance of APRN billing</th>
<th>Discuss need for APRN billing</th>
<th>Discuss APRN billing requirements</th>
<th>Introduce tool for APRN billing</th>
<th>Journey through a day in the life of an APRN</th>
<th>There is value for business and operations with instituting a billing framework for APRNs.</th>
<th>There is value for clinical practice with instituting billing for APRNs.</th>
<th>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN’s daily clinical workflow</th>
<th>Aggregate Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: APRN Billing Framework Survey Narrative Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrative Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Question</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is value for business and operations with instituting a billing framework for APRNs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is value for clinical practice with instituting billing for APRNs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN's daily clinical workflow.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional considerations needed to execute the billing framework include:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Employment Structure
Figure 2: Kanter’s Structural Empowerment Model for APRN Billing

- Access to Support: Feedback on billing to promote improvement
- Access to Opportunity: APRN billing for inpatient professional services
- Access to Information: Communication regarding new billing policy and training to teach how to bill
- Access to Resources: Billing algorithm, billing resources
Implement billing practices for hospital-based nurse practitioners

Impact of nurse practitioner billing

- Increased revenue stream
- Cost avoidance and cost savings
- Increase visibility of nurse practitioners
- Lower costs allocated to Medicare beneficiaries
- Improve quality of care
- Increase access to care
- Physicians and APRNs practice to the top of their license
- Free physician time to allow them to focus on higher level needs
- Bridge the gap related to the physician shortage

Impact of utilizing hospital-based nurse practitioners
Figure 4: Hospital-Based Nurse Practitioner Billing SWOT Analysis

**Strengths**
- NPs provide safe, quality care
- Staffed at hospital and can provide prompt care
- Increases visibility of NPs
- Financial reimbursement for hospital services already provided by the APRN

**Weaknesses**
- Lack of structure for APRN billing
- Reduced reimbursement at 85% of physician payment
- APRNs lack of training to bill

**Opportunities**
- Improve access to care
- Improve quality of care
- Improve patient satisfaction
- Improve APRN job satisfaction due to practicing top of license

**Threats**
- Resistance to change from both APRNs, physicians, and the organization
- Potential for duplicate billing
  - E&M codes
## Figure 5: Hospital-Based APRN Charge Pass Form

### Arterial Line placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Arterial Catheterization (percutaneous)</th>
<th>Cutdown</th>
<th>Arterial puncture/withdrawal of blood for diagnosis (blood gases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36620 □</td>
<td>36625 □</td>
<td>36600 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chest Tube placement: Thoracostomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Tube Thoracostomy Open</th>
<th>Thoracostesis w/o imaging guidance</th>
<th>Thoracostesis with imaging guidance</th>
<th>Percutaneous Pleural drainage, insertion of indwelling cath, w/o imaging</th>
<th>Percutaneous Pleural drainage, insertion of indwelling cath, with imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>32551 □</td>
<td>32554 □</td>
<td>32555 □</td>
<td>32556 □</td>
<td>32557 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Endotracheal Tube Intubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Intubation, endotracheal</th>
<th>Tracheotomy tube change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>31300 □</td>
<td>31302 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lumbar / Spinal Puncture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Spinal puncture, lumbar, diagnostic</th>
<th>Spinal puncture, therapeutic – drainage of CSF via needle or catheter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>62270 □</td>
<td>62272 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Central Venous Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Insertion (&lt;5 yo)</th>
<th>Insertion (5 or &gt;) □</th>
<th>Removal</th>
<th>Reposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36555 □</td>
<td>36556 (5 or &gt;) □</td>
<td>Cath: 36589 □</td>
<td>36597 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Insertion w/o port (&lt;5 yo) □</th>
<th>Insertion w/o port (5 or &gt;) □</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (&lt;5 yo) □</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (5 or &gt;) □</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36568 □</td>
<td>36569 □</td>
<td>36570 □</td>
<td>36571 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Description: ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix A: Memorandum of Understanding

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING
NURSING ADMINISTRATION EXPERIENCE

THIS MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING is made and entered into between
The Board of Trustees of The University of Alabama, a public educational and constitutional
instrumentality of the State of Alabama, incorporated by statute, for and on behalf of The
University of Alabama in Huntsville (herein, the "University") and HCA Healthcare, L.P., a
Tennessee limited partnership (located at One Park Place, Building 1, Nashville, Tennessee
37263 (herein, the "Agency.")

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the University offers through its College of Nursing (herein, the "College")
an accredited nursing education program, an important component of which are certain
administrative experiences provided for nursing students in cooperation with health care and
other appropriate agencies; and

WHEREAS, the Agency is willing to provide the nursing education setting for such an
administrative experience in association with the College; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to state the terms of their cooperative association in a
written agreement.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants herein
contained and other good and sufficient considerations, it is agreed by and between the parties as
follows:

1. Cooperative Program. The Agency agrees to accept designated College Master of
Science in Nursing (MSN) and Doctor of Nursing Practice (DNP) students as participants in a
program of nursing administration experience carried out as a cooperative effort by the
University and the Agency. The program shall be designed to supplement and enhance the
effective instruction provided in nursing courses by giving student participants administrative
experience in health care delivery. Activities shall be selected by mutual agreement of the
parties, based on the level of preparation and the educational needs of the student and the
availability of appropriate opportunities at the Agency.
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# Appendix D: Advanced Practice Nurses Billing Framework for Organizations

## Advanced Practice Nurses Billing Framework for Organizations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Strongly Agree</th>
<th>Agree</th>
<th>Neutral</th>
<th>Disagree</th>
<th>Strongly Disagree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>The objectives for the presentation were met</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Objective 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss importance of APEN billing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><strong>Objective 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss need for APEN billing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><strong>Objective 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Discuss APEN billing requirements</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><strong>Objective 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduce tool for APEN billing</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Objective 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Journey through a day in the life of an APEN?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>There is value for business and operations with instituting billing for APEN?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>There is value for clinical practice with instituting billing for APEN?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APEN’s clinical workflow?</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Additional considerations needed to execute the billing framework include:
Appendix E: Survey Results

Q1 The objectives for the presentation were met

![Survey Results Graph]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>STRONGLY AGREE (5)</th>
<th>AGREE (4)</th>
<th>NEUTRAL</th>
<th>DISAGREE (2)</th>
<th>STRONGLY DISAGREE (1)</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Discuss importance of APRN billing</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss need for APRN billing</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discuss APRN billing requirements</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Introduce tool for APRN billing</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Journey through a day in the life of an APRN</td>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q2 Question 2

There is value for business and operations with instituting a billing framework for APRNs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRONGLY AGREE</th>
<th>AGREE</th>
<th>NEUTRAL</th>
<th>DISAGREE</th>
<th>STRONGLY DISAGREE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# COMMENT: DATE
1    Very informative and a practical approach. 1/10/2020 2:24 PM
Q3 Question 3

Answered: 5  Skipped: 0

There is value for clinical practice with instituting billing for APRNs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESPONSE</th>
<th>STRONGLY AGREE</th>
<th>AGREE</th>
<th>NEUTRAL</th>
<th>DISAGREE</th>
<th>STRONGLY DISAGREE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>There is value for</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>clinical practice</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with instituting</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>billing for APRNs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Q4 Question 4

Answered: 5  Skipped: 0

The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN's daily clinical workflow.

- Strongly Agree
- Agree
- Neutral
- Disagree
- Strongly Disagree

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>STRONGLY AGREE</th>
<th>AGREE</th>
<th>NEUTRAL</th>
<th>DISAGREE</th>
<th>STRONGLY DISAGREE</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>WEIGHTED AVERAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN's daily clinical workflow</td>
<td>60.00%</td>
<td>40.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

# 1
**COMMENT:** I think the only caveat to this is based on how the APRN currently documents and if there is an electronic tool in place to support this.

**DATE:** 1/13/2020 12:53 PM

4/5
Q5 Additional considerations needed to execute the billing framework include:

Answered: 3   Skipped: 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>RESPONSES</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Great job. Good luck on doctorate.</td>
<td>1/13/2020 12:53 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Nothing to necessarily add to the presentation, but there are additional training and billing considerations required for E/M services, particularly in the hospital space. That said, understanding that this presentation was not intended to go into that level of detail. It was very informative and well put together.</td>
<td>1/10/2020 2:24 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Nicely done!</td>
<td>1/10/2020 2:11 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix F: APRN Billing Framework Business Case

Problem Statement:
There is currently a forecasted physician shortage, decreasing patient access to care. Additionally, the cost of health care is increasing, accounting for 17.6% of the gross domestic product. At the same time, the number of APRNs is increasing. Research shows that APRNs provide safe, cost effective, quality care with high patient satisfaction scores. APRNs can be used to help fill the health care gap, increasing patient access to care. Currently there are no structures in place to support APRN billing of hospital professional services.

Proposed Solution:
Develop a framework regarding billing of hospital-based care provided by APRNs.
- Most hospitals are not set up to support professional billing services for procedures and E&M codes.
- The goal of the proposal is to provide information to senior leadership to aid in making a business decision

Key Benefits:
Hospitals can benefit from implementing APRN billing
- Participate in reimbursement with Prospective Payment System and the Value-Based purchasing program
- Improve quality care
- Empower APRNs
- Improve job satisfaction
- Provide cost-effective care
- Increase patient access to care through improved workflow
- Increase APRN visibility and ability to track productivity
- Increase ability of APRNs to practice to the top of their license

Financial Estimate:
- Budget Neutral
Scope:

- **In Scope:**
  - Enterprise wide initiative.
  - Hospital-based Advanced Practice Registered Nurses, Certified Nurse Specialists

- **Out of Scope:**
  - Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists, Midwives, and Physician Assistants

Deployment Strategy:

- **Procedure**
  - The project includes the development of a charge pass form to allow for submission of charges.
  - The APRNs will be trained on how to properly complete and submit the charge pass form.

- **Phase I**
  - Billing to start with procedures only
  - Billing to start at one hospital

- **Phase II**
  - E&M charges will be phased in after successful implementation of procedure billing.
  - Billing to be rolled out to additional hospitals in phases to allow for adjustments to be made as needed

Operational & Process Impact:

- The current organizational structure will need to be adapted to allow for hospital-based APRN billing using the charge pass form
- A billing guideline will need to be developed to structure the billing.
- All eligible APRNs will need to be trained on use and submission of the charge pass form.
- All eligible APRNs will need to be trained on billing of procedures initially and future training to include E/M billing
- The billing department will need to be educated regarding the new billing structure and the billing tool for APRNs.
Communication Approach:
- The billing/coding specialists and the education team will work together to develop training material and rollout a training schedule.
- The APRN billing tool will be handed off to the coding and education teams to develop training.

Project Risks:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk</th>
<th>Mitigation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Potential for resistance from the physicians and APRNs.</td>
<td>Can be managed by human resources with education for both groups to the rationale regarding APRN billing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for duplicate billing between the physician and APRN</td>
<td>Can be managed by the coding and billing department through training sessions for the physicians and APRNs on how to bill and document appropriately. Additional training will occur with the billing coders to monitor for duplicate charges before submitting the charges.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potential for a violation of hospital, state, and/or CMS rules.</td>
<td>Should be managed by multiple departments including legal, compliance, credentialing, and senior leadership working collectively to develop a clear guideline for APRNs, that can be adjusted for each hospital and state.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project Dependencies:
- Support and approval from senior leadership including the Chief Nursing Officer.
- Hospital bylaws and policies changed to allow for the charge pass form and guideline to be adopted and implemented at each facility.
Appendix G: Guideline for APRN Billing

- Licensed as an Advanced Practice Registered Nurse in state of practice
- Certification from a nationally recognized certifying body: American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, American Nurses Credentialing Center, National Certification Corporation for Obstetric, Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing Specialties, Pediatric Nursing Certification Board, Oncology Nurses Certification Corporation, American Association of Critical Care Nurses Certification Corporation, and the National Board on Certification of Hospice and Palliative Nurses
- Hold a master’s or doctorate degree in nursing
- Obtain a National Provider Identification Number (NPI)
- Credentialed with insurance companies
- Work within the scope of practice according to state law
- Have a collaborative practice agreement in place with a physician
- Must not be hospital employed, only hospital based
- Provide correct documentation to support billing
- APRNs trained to complete and submit the charge pass form
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>MR Number:</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>DOB:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

## Arterial Line placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arterial Catheterization</td>
<td>36620</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial cutdown</td>
<td>36625</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial puncture/withdrawal of</td>
<td>36600</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood for diagnosis (blood gases)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Chest Tube placement: Thoracostomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tube Thoracostomy</td>
<td>32551</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Open w/o imaging guidance</td>
<td>32554</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracostomy</td>
<td>32555</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>with imaging guidance</td>
<td>32556</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural drainage, insertion of</td>
<td>32553</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indwelling cath, w/o imaging</td>
<td>32558</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percutaneous</td>
<td>32557</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural drainage, insertion of</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indwelling cath, with imaging</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Endotracheal Tube Intubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intubation, endotracheal</td>
<td>31500</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracheostomy tube change</td>
<td>35506</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Lumbar / Spinal Puncture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spinal puncture, lumbar, diagnostic</td>
<td>62220</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spinal puncture, therapeutic -</td>
<td>62221</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>drainage of CSF via needle or</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>catheter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Central Venous Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion</td>
<td>36555 (~5 yo)</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cath: 36588</td>
<td>36556 (5 or &gt;)</td>
<td>Device: 36590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removal</td>
<td>36591</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Billing Code</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion w/o port (~5 yo)</td>
<td>36568</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion w/o port (5 or &gt;)</td>
<td>36569</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion w/ port (~5 yo)</td>
<td>36690</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion w/ port (5 or &gt;)</td>
<td>36591</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APRNs practicing in inpatient facilities are subject to reimbursement guidelines that can create difficulties in billing for services. The purpose of this project was to develop a framework regarding billing of hospital professional services provided by hospital-based APRNs.
Securing Payment for Services Provided by Advanced Practice Nurses: Framework for Organizations

As the demand for health care increases due to a growing and aging population, so does the demand for health care providers. According to the Association of American Medical Colleges (AAMC), the supply of physicians is decreasing. The AAMC (2019) predicts the United States will experience a shortage of up to nearly 122,000 physicians by 2032. Concurrently, the number of advanced practice registered nurses (APRN) is increasing, and it is forecasted to increase by 31% by 2026 (Bureau of Labor Statistics, 2019). With the physician shortage and the supply of APRNs increasing, APRNs are poised to help fill the health care gap.

Meanwhile, the cost of health care is skyrocketing. In the United States, health care spending is more than twice that of other developed nations such as the United Kingdom, France, and Sweden; accounting for 17.7% of the gross domestic product in the US (Kane, 2012; Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services [CMS], 2019a). Evidence has shown APRNs can reduce health care costs, especially when barriers are removed allowing them to practice to the top of their license (Bauer, 2010).

According to studies conducted by the Institute of Medicine (IOM) (2010), APRNs are well educated and trained to provide safe, effective, and quality patient care. APRNs are a unique group of providers because they do not fit into a definitive category of medicine or nursing (Metzger, 2014). While APRNs are not physicians, their education, training, and job responsibilities create different professional roles than those of registered nurses, thereby requiring a different organizational structure to serve more effectively in expanding organizations (Metzger, 2014; Anen, & McElroy, 2015). The Center for
Advancing Provider Practices (CAP2) recommends six areas of focus to improve the organizational structure for APRNs. The focus areas include “leadership, human resources, credentialing and privileging, competency assessment, billing and reimbursement, and measurement/impact” (Anen & McElroy, 2015, p. 51).

Implementing a health care infrastructure that supports and empowers APRNs requires cooperating leadership from various departments to define the organizational needs and goals to ensure success. APRNs are frequently hired to help fill the health care gaps surrounding national initiatives. This environment allows APRNs to demonstrate their full abilities (Kapu, Kleinpell, & Pilon, 2014). A sound APRN-centered infrastructure can facilitate engagement, utilization, and optimization of APRNs, which results in improved patient outcomes in a cost-effective manner (Anen, & McElroy, 2015).

**Identification of Problem**

Billing and reimbursement for APRNs has been identified by CAP2 as an opportunity to improve organizational infrastructure (Anen & McElroy, 2015). Previously, hospitals were reimbursed under a cost-based system, allowing hospitals to submit the cost of patient care for a percentage of reimbursement. Due to rising costs, the government changed the reimbursement system (Buppert, 2005). Today, the Prospective Payment System (PPS) is used as a fixed payment system to reimburse for services and care provided in the inpatient setting. The amount is determined by the diagnosis related group (DRG). This is a weighted payment for the specific diagnosis, determined by the resources used to care for the patient (CMS, 2020). In addition to PPS structured reimbursements, hospitals are incentivized to improve quality of care using a value-based payment model. The model has three performance measures for hospitals: Hospital Value-Based Purchasing Program
(VBP), Hospital Readmission Reduction Program, and the Hospital Acquired Conditions Reduction Program. The performance measures programs focus on improving the quality of patient care, reducing hospital 30-day readmission rates, and decreasing infections acquired in the hospital (CMS, 2019a).

To meet the performance measures for the PPS and VBP programs, hospitals and or organizations would benefit from maximizing integration of APRN services in care delivery models. However, APRN infrastructure must include a billing program for APRNs. Developing and instituting a billing and reimbursement program for hospital-based APRNs as part of the organizational infrastructure can help to improve quality, cost-effective care (Kapu, et al 2014). Empowering APRNs to practice to the top of their license has been shown to improve job satisfaction (Athey, 2016). Failure to implement a practice infrastructure that promotes and empowers APRNs practice can lead to decreased job satisfaction and increased turnover amongst APRNs (Kapu, & Steaban, 2016).

Local Problem

In 2016, within a large health care system headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, two surveys were conducted across the enterprise to understand the roles and utilization of hospital-based APRNs. Within this organization, hospital-based APRNs work in the hospital; however, they are employees of the corporation and not the hospital. The first survey was sent to the Chief Nursing Officer (CNO) of each hospital. The second survey was sent to all the hospital-based APRNs. The surveys revealed APRNs were thought to be valuable to the organization, however, current structures and guidelines were preventing full utilization of skills resulting in hospital-based APRNs not practicing to the top of their license. Opportunities were identified surrounding APRNs that could enhance patient care,
reduce costs, increase APRN utilization, and improve job satisfaction (Englebright, 2017). Additionally, with the continued growth of APRNs and regulatory changes, a need was identified to assess APRN billing practices and opportunities for organizational changes to promote APRN billing (C. Borum, personal communication, June 18, 2019).

Today, within this health care system, hospital-based APRNs do not bill for services using their own National Provider Identifier (NPI), a ten-digit number used to identify a provider, due to the lack of an APRN billing structure within the organization (CMS 2018). Physicians and out-patient-based APRNs who submit professional fees for inpatient services submit charges to their outpatient clinic to be processed for billing. The hospital-based APRNs currently are not submitting charges for professional services due to a lack of guidelines or tools in place to foster billing. Without the ability for hospital-based APRNs to bill inpatient services, tracking APRNs is proving to be difficult as their visibility is decreased. The limitations include tracking financial viability, patient quality care, and costs related to care. Improving the visibility of APRNs recently has been a discussion with the Medicare Payment Advisory Commission (MEDPAC), as a recent recommendation was made to Medicare to eliminate incident-to billing to increase APRN visibility (MEDPAC, 2019). With the current shift toward VBP reimbursement models, tracking the activity of health care providers and patients is essential.
Literature Review

Billing Guidelines for APRNs Providing Inpatient Services

Guidelines describing APRNs billing for physician services are complicated and difficult to decipher due to documentation in various locations of federal and state law (Buppert, 2005). Third-party-payers may have largely differing policies regarding APRN billing and reimbursement. Although many payers will follow Medicare guidelines, not all payers, including Medicaid, follow their guidelines. Organizations in individual states need to investigate the rules surrounding Medicaid and commercial payers for billing inpatient care services (Wound, Ostomy, and Continence Nurses Society [WOCN], 2012). Given that the rules vary for each payer, the only definitive guidelines that can be evaluated are those pertaining to federally-based reimbursements from CMS.

CMS requires that scope of practice be followed according to state law, the professional service must be within the APRN scope of practice, and the APRN must have a collaborative agreement with a physician (CMS, 2019c). Finally, only one bill for evaluation and management, per day, per specialty will be covered by CMS (CMS, 2019c).

Benefits of Billing for Inpatient Services Provided by Hospital-Based APRNs

In a study by Kapu, Kleinpell, and Pilon (2014), APRNs were added to five inpatient teams as billing providers. Adding the APRNs decreased the length of stay in the hospital across all the units. The shortened hospitalization resulted in cost savings of approximately $28 million in hospital charges; and each APRN increased gross collections due to the added revenue. Additionally, nurses and physicians completed 3-month satisfaction surveys regarding adding the APRNs, with the majority responding favorably to this team structure. The nurses, with over 80% response, strongly agreed that using the APRNs
improved patient care, including improved pain control and better communication with the patient and families. The physicians, with over 75% response, strongly agreed APRNs improved the physician workflow, improved patient care and overall were very satisfied with the clinical decision making of the APRN. Of note, 100% of the physicians strongly agreed that using the APRN improved patient throughput.

Brooks and Fulton (2019) implemented a billing algorithm, following Medicare guidelines, across a large academic medical center for inpatient and outpatient APRNs. The data was not separately analyzed for inpatient and outpatient; however, global benefits were noted. The billing algorithm increased APRN visibility by capturing data related to productivity. Before the billing algorithm, physician relative value units (RVU), units used to calculate physician payments, were inflated secondary to APRNs billing under the physicians’ NPI. As anticipated, APRN RVU increased as APRNs initiated billing utilizing their own NPI. However, the unanticipated benefit noted was the increase in the physicians’ RVUs. The billing algorithm improved work flow through improved utilization of the patient care team.

Moote et al. (2011) conducted a study to evaluate the use of APRNs and physician assistants (PA) in academic health centers. The study consisted of a 3-part survey examining the perceived organizational value of APRNs and PA, integration of APRNs and PAs into the organization, and deployment strategies of APRNs and PAs. The primary reason noted for employing APRNs and PAs was to fill the gap created by the restrictions on resident hours. Secondary reasons noted include improving patient quality, safety, and throughput, increasing patient access to care, and decreasing length of stay. Additionally, ease of accessibility of non-physician providers to other care providers to discuss patient
care was ranked high with strongly agree. Despite APRNs and PAs proving to be valuable assets to the organizations, 69% of the responders admitted the financial impact of APRNs or PAs had not been successfully documented. Twenty-three percent reported hospital-based APRNs and PAs were not billing professional services. In 40% of medical centers tracking RVUs of APRNs and PAs, RVUs were not captured for hospital-based advanced practice providers but limited to the outpatient setting. This study highlights the missed opportunity to bill for services and capture data specific to APRNs.

Kapu and Steaban (2016) recognized the need for a business case to support implementing an inpatient APRN team, developing an 8-step standardized framework for adding to inpatient teams to improve quality and revenue while providing cost-effective health care (2016). The process included identification of the problem as it related to the program or organization, a statement of the problem, proposed intervention, recommendations on staffing, an overview of the APRN pro forma, APRN quality targets, plan for practice support, and anticipated challenges. This framework has provided a uniform process for strategic planning, implementation, and evaluation. Since implementing the framework, the institution has hired and trained additional APRNs who have utilized the process for developing new programs.

APRN job satisfaction in relation to autonomy and work setting were evaluated in a survey by Athey et al (2015). The study evaluated how job satisfaction was affected by physician relationships, billing practices, and skill utilization. The survey revealed 30% of APRNs perceived their skills were underutilized in the hospital setting. Fifty-nine percent of clinic-employed APRNs felt strongly their skills were utilized. The survey found across all settings, only 39% of APRNs were billing under their own NPI. In the hospital setting,
32% of APRNs were billing in the medical setting. The survey determined the highest job satisfaction reported was among APRNs with the highest reported autonomy and utilization of skills.

**Billing Guidelines for Hospital-Based APRNs**

With the research demonstrating clear evidence that APRNs can bill Medicare for inpatient professional services, the challenge now becomes identifying best practices for billing strategies in facilities. To obtain Medicare credentialing, the APRN must meet Medicare qualifications. The qualifications include licensure as an APRN in the state of practice. Billing guidelines require the APRN to obtain an NPI number for submitting claims to Medicare (CMS 2019c). Split/shared billing occurs when a physician and an APRN submit one claim for combined work. Shared billing is a permissible form of billing for in-patient professional services if both parties have an employment or similar contractual relationship. Incident to billing occurs when the claim is submitted under the physician’s NPI for APRN work. However, this is not allowed for billing inpatient professional services (Buppert, 2005, CMS, 2016 & CMS, 2019b).

Another guideline is to ensure a service is medically necessary. Proper documentation supporting the procedure or the evaluation and management (E&M) code is essential to prove medical necessity. The E&M consists of the history, physical exam and medical decision making (CMS, 2017). APRNs may manage acute and chronic illnesses according to the state scope of practice laws. However, APRNs may not solely assume the care of hospitalized patients, even in states with full practice authority. Federal laws mandate that hospitalized patients have a physician assigned to their care (Electronic Code of Federal Regulations [e-CFR], 2019). These guidelines layout the steps for billing inpatient
professional services. Additional guidelines may be required, depending on individual state laws, organizational by-laws, and policies surrounding privileging and credentialing.

**Theoretical Framework**

Kanter’s *Theory of Structural Empowerment* will be a basis for the DNP project. Kanter’s theory describes the influences of organizational structure upon empowerment of employees. Kanter (1993) defines power as “the ability to get things done, to mobilize resources, to get and use whatever it is that person needs for the goals he or she is attempting to meet” (pg. 166). When power is confined to only a few then effectiveness is diminished. However, when the power is spread among many, the result is increased productivity. Empowerment gives a person the authority over circumstances to promote their actions to accomplish goals (Kanter, 1993).

The four organizational structures that promote empowerment include access to support, opportunity, resources, and information. Structural empowerment has been linked to improved job satisfaction, productivity, and autonomy (Clavelle, O’Grady, & Drenkard, 2013; Laschinger et al, 2009; Orgambidez-Ramos, & Borrego-Alés, 2014). Kanter’s model serves an excellent guide in developing a billing program for APRNs to promote structural empowerment (Fig 1).

An organization’s effectiveness depends on the ability to recognize the values, contributions, and achievements of its employees (Rutherford, Leigh, Monk, & Murray, 2005). Organizational infrastructure fostering structural empowerment of APRNs can have a beneficial impact on patient care, positive financial implications, and promote job retention. By developing structures such as implementing an APRN billing program, the increased visibility of APRNs may contribute to a sense of empowerment that can increase
job effectiveness, improve job satisfaction and ultimately enhance patient care (Stewart, McNulty, Griffin, & Fitzpatrick, 2010).

Specific Aims

The purpose of this project was to develop a framework regarding billing of hospital professional services provided by hospital-based APRNs. The aims of the project were: 1) Develop a framework to implement billing for hospital-based APRNs; 2) Presentation of the framework to the steering committee work group; 3) Obtain objective feedback from the steering committee and revise the framework based off the feedback; 4) Develop a billing policy for hospital-based APRNs.

Methods

Context

Within a large health care system headquartered in Nashville, Tennessee, hospital-based APRNs do not bill for professional services using their own NPI due to the infrastructure of the organization. The services include performing procedures and providing medical care that supports E&M billing. The APRNs are in place and performing the billable work; however, due to lack of organizational structure, they cannot submit billing for these services.

At the corporate headquarters, a steering committee work group was developed to evaluate the need for implementing a billing system for hospital-based APRNs. The Assistant Vice President (AVP) of Advanced Practice Nursing chaired the work group. The group was comprised of seven members, of which six were women. The members, consisting of business and clinical professionals, were invited to participate in the work group due to their knowledge
and experience in leadership, clinical, government issues, human resources, and communication. This project is a part of a larger initiative evaluating all advanced practice provider billing.

**Interventions**

The first phase of the project developed a basic framework to include the following components: a problem statement, background information, proposed solution, deployment strategy, project scope, project dependencies, operational and process impact, communication approach, key benefits, and project risks and issues. To help guide the framework, a driver diagram was developed to create a visual display of the components that could affect the outcome. The aim was defined, and then primary and secondary drivers were identified to achieve the aim. A strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) analysis was performed to identify internal and external factors to aid in the strategic planning of the framework.

A charge pass form (Figure 1) was developed as a billing tool to be included in the framework. The billing tool focused on procedures for this project. The work group identified the procedures to be incorporated into the billing tool.

Finally, a survey was developed to obtain feedback from the workgroup for the proposed framework. The survey included eight closed-ended questions and one open-ended question. The closed-end questions used a 5-point scale to measure the responses.

Phase two of the project was a presentation of the plan to the steering committee work group for review. The 20-minute presentation was presented virtually to the work group. The presentation discussed the importance of and need for billing among hospital-based APRNs. The requirements for APRN billing were explained and the charge pass form was introduced. After the presentation, the work group members were invited to ask questions. At the conclusion of the
questions, the work group was invited to complete a survey (Appendix D), via SurveyMonkey, designed to capture feedback regarding their analysis of the plan and suggestions for further consideration. The survey link was emailed to the work group participants and the surveys were collected over the course of one week.

The final phase of the project was the revision of the framework and the development of the billing guideline. The framework format followed the institution’s template for business cases. A billing guideline was provided to outline the requirements for hospital-based APRN billing. Both were submitted to the AVP for review and consideration to be included in the larger advanced practice billing initiative for review by the senior leadership team.

Analysis

Both quantitative and narrative feedback were collected to evaluate the APRN billing framework. A 5-point survey was administered online through SurveyMonkey, which collected the responses. To measure the intervention, the mean was determined for each question. An aggregated mean of 3 or more, indicated the project met acceptable criteria for progression to the next phase. A mean for any individual question below 3, indicated revisions were needed. Narrative feedback was requested from the participants in the form of comments following each question and at the end of the survey. A statistical analysis of the survey was performed using descriptive statistics. SurveyMonkey software and Excel data analysis ToolPak were used to tabulate and analyze the data for each question.

Results

Phase I developed the APRN billing framework. It involved researching the current evidence regarding APRN billing to help guide the framework. The development of the driver diagram
and SWOT analysis helped to identify deficits and allowed for adjustments to be made to the framework. A charge pass form was developed as the billing tool to be included in the framework. The work group identified and shared the top six procedures performed by the APRNs within the hospital: arterial line placement, chest tube placement, endotracheal tube intubation, lumbar puncture, central venous access, and peripherally inserted central catheter. The form included patient demographics, procedure codes and definitions, and a field to include the diagnosis code (Figure 1). The design of the form was one page to promote ease of use. Phase II was the presentation of the framework and completion of the survey. Seven members of the work group attended the presentation and were asked to complete a survey administered online through SurveyMonkey. In total, five surveys were completed. One survey was not completed due to conflict of interest and one survey was lost to follow-up. The mean aggregate score for all questions was 4.7. The mean for the individual questions was between 4.6 and 4.8. All of the mean scores were greater than 3, therefore indicating acceptance of the billing framework (Table 1). The narrative comments were evaluated last. There were no recommendations for changes to the framework, however suggestions for future considerations were included (Table 2). Phase III of the project included minor revisions to the framework and the development of the billing guideline (Table 3). Both were accepted to be submitted to senior leadership for review.

**Discussion**

Developing a billing framework for hospital-based APRNs is a complex and tedious project. Having a thorough understanding of billing regulations and guidelines, as well as
federal and state laws is essential. The project set out to develop the initial APRN billing framework and it was successfully accepted into the larger advanced practice billing plan. The groundwork has been laid for the organization to continue to build upon the framework to work toward successful implementation of billing for hospital-based APRNs.

**Limitations**

The greatest barrier to the framework is resistance to change. The framework must first be approved by senior leadership before the process of implementation begins. If approved, organizational guidelines will need to be revised to allow for the policy to be implemented. Additionally, the APRNs may be resistant to the change as it is a new process. This can be mitigated with appropriate training. A final barrier is the risk for duplicate billing, specifically with E&M codes. With appropriate training of the billing and coding specialists as well as the physicians and APRNs, this risk can be minimized.

**Conclusion**

Developing a APRN billing framework is an important first step in implementing billing for hospital-based APRNs. A billing program can promote APRNs practicing to the top of license and increase visibility, improving the ability to track metrics specific to APRNs. Additionally, charge capture can improve patient care due to improved utilization and work-flow of the patient care team. This can increase practice sustainability by enhancing cost-effective care and foster cost-avoidance. Financial opportunities exist with the potential to participate in reimbursement with PPS and the VBP program. Full utilization of APRNs can have far reaching positive implications. The design and development of the framework laid the groundwork to implement an organizational change. This paradigm shift has the potential to position the organization to be a leader in the advancement of APRN billing.
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Figure 1: Hospital-Based APRN Charge Pass Form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>MR Number:</th>
<th>Name:</th>
<th>DOB:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### Arterial Line Placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Arterial Catheterization (percutaneous)</th>
<th>Cutdown</th>
<th>Arterial puncture/withdrawal of blood for diagnosis (blood gases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36620 □</td>
<td>36625 □</td>
<td>36600 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Chest Tube Placement: Thoracostomy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Tube Thoracostomy Open</th>
<th>Thoracentesis w/o imaging guidance</th>
<th>Thoracentesis with imaging guidance</th>
<th>Percutaneous Pleural drainage, insertion of indwelling cath, w/o imaging</th>
<th>Percutaneous Pleural drainage, insertion of indwelling cath, with imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>32551 □</td>
<td>32534 □</td>
<td>32553 □</td>
<td>32556 □</td>
<td>32557 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Endotracheal Tube Intubation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Intubation, endotracheal</th>
<th>Tracheotomy tube change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>31500 □</td>
<td>31502 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lumbar / Spinal Puncture

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Spinal puncture, lumbar, diagnostic</th>
<th>Spinal puncture, therapeutic – drainage of CSF via needle or catheter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>62270 □</td>
<td>62272 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Central Venous Access

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Insertion</th>
<th>Removal</th>
<th>Reposition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insertion (&lt;5 yo)</td>
<td>36555 □</td>
<td>Cath: 36589 □</td>
<td>36597 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion (5 or &gt;)</td>
<td>36556 (5 or &gt;) □</td>
<td>Device: 36590 □</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36555 □</td>
<td>36556 (5 or &gt;) □</td>
<td>36597 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Peripherally Inserted Central Catheter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (&lt;5 yo)</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (5 or &gt;)</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (&lt;5 yo)</th>
<th>Insertion w/ port (5 or &gt;)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Billing Code</td>
<td>36568 □</td>
<td>36569 □</td>
<td>35670 □</td>
<td>36571 □</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis Description</td>
<td>ICD-10:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1 – APRN Billing Framework Survey Quantitative Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Discuss importance of APRN billing</th>
<th>Discuss need for APRN billing</th>
<th>Discuss APRN billing requirements</th>
<th>Introduce tool for APRN billing</th>
<th>Journey through a day in the life of an APRN</th>
<th>There is value for business and operations with instituting a billing framework for APRNs.</th>
<th>There is value for clinical practice with instituting billing for APRNs.</th>
<th>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN's daily clinical workflow</th>
<th>Aggregate Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Count</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 - APRN Billing Framework Survey Narrative Comments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Narrative Comments</th>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objectives</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is value for business and operations with</td>
<td>Very informative and a practical approach.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>instituting a billing framework for APRNs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>There is value for clinical practice with instituting</td>
<td>No comments</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>billing for APRNs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The billing framework can be incorporated into the APRN's</td>
<td>I think the only caveat to this is based on how the APRN currently</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>daily clinical workflow.</td>
<td>documents and is there an electronic tool in place to support this.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional considerations needed to execute the billing</td>
<td>Nothing to necessarily add to the presentation, but there are additional</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>framework include:</td>
<td>training and billing considerations required for E/M services, particularly</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>in the hospital space. That said, understanding that this presentation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>was not intended to go into that level of detail. It was very informative</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and well put together.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 – Guideline for APRN Billing

- Licensed as an Advanced Practice Registered Nurse in state of practice
- Certification from a nationally recognized certifying body: American Academy of Nurse Practitioners, American Nurses Credentialing Center, National Certification Corporation for Obstetric, Gynecologic and Neonatal Nursing Specialties, Pediatric Nursing Certification Board, Oncology Nurses Certification Corporation, American Association of Critical Care Nurses Certification Corporation, and the National Board on Certification of Hospice and Palliative Nurses
- Hold a master’s or doctorate degree in nursing
- Obtain a National Provider Identification Number (NPI)
- Credentialed with insurance companies
- Work within the scope of practice according to state law
- Have a collaborative practice agreement in place with a physician
- Must not be hospital employed, only hospital based
- Provide correct documentation to support billing
- APRNs trained to complete and submit the charge pass form